

Annex VII - EVALUATION GRID

Organisation	
Project Title	



ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST

Criteria	Yes	No
Application submitted on time		
All required documents included		
Application in correct format		
Legal eligibility criteria met		
Financial thresholds respected		
Budget within grant limits		

Administrative Compliance Result: ☐ PASS ☐ FAIL

QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCORING

1. RELEVANCE AND PROJECT DESIGN (25 points)

1.1 Relevance to Call Objectives (10 points)

Score

Criteria

- 9-10 Excellent alignment with Activity 1.4.1 objectives, clearly addresses inclusion of disadvantaged youth through GCE
- 7-8 Good alignment with objectives, addresses most key elements
- 5-6 Adequate alignment, addresses some key elements
- 3-4 Limited alignment with objectives
- 1-2 Poor alignment with call objectives
- 0 No alignment with objectives

Score: ____/10

1.2 Project Design Quality (15 points)

Score**Criteria**

- Excellent project logic, innovative approach, clear methodology, highly feasible
- 13-15
- Good project design with clear logic and feasible approach
- 10-12
- Adequate project design with some gaps
- 7-9
- Limited project design quality
- 4-6
- Poor project design
- 1-3
- No coherent project design
- 0

Score: ____/15

2. TARGET GROUPS AND IMPACT (20 points)**2.1 Target Group Identification (10 points)****Score****Criteria**

- Excellent identification and analysis of disadvantaged youth target groups
- 9-10
- Good target group identification with evidence of consultation
- 7-8
- Adequate target group identification
- 5-6
- Limited target group analysis
- 3-4
- Poor target group identification
- 1-2
- No clear target group identification
- 0

Score: ____/10

2.2 Expected Impact and Sustainability (10 points)**Score****Criteria**

- Excellent realistic impact expectations with strong sustainability plan
- 9-10
- Good impact projections with adequate sustainability measures
- 7-8
- Adequate impact expectations
- 5-6
- Limited impact analysis
- 3-4
- Poor impact projections
- 1-2
- No clear impact expectations
- 0

Score: ____/10

3. METHODOLOGY AND APPROACH (25 points)

3.1 GCE Methodology Integration (15 points)

Score	Criteria
13-15	Excellent integration of inclusive GCE approaches, highly innovative
10-12	Good use of GCE methodologies
7-9	Adequate GCE approach
4-6	Limited GCE methodology
1-3	Poor GCE integration
0	No GCE methodology

Score: ____/15

3.2 Peer Education Approach (10 points)

Score	Criteria
9-10	Excellent peer education strategy with clear youth leadership
7-8	Good peer education elements
5-6	Adequate peer education approach
3-4	Limited peer education
1-2	Poor peer education integration
0	No peer education approach

Score: ____/10

4. IMPLEMENTATION CAPACITY (20 points)

4.1 Organisational Capacity (10 points)

Score	Criteria
9-10	Excellent relevant experience, highly qualified staff, strong management systems
7-8	Good organisational capacity with relevant experience

- 5-6 Adequate capacity for project implementation
- 3-4 Limited organisational capacity
- 1-2 Poor organisational capacity
- 0 Inadequate capacity for implementation

Score: ____/10

4.2 Partnership and Networking (10 points)

Score	Criteria
9-10	Excellent local partnerships and community connections
7-8	Good partnership arrangements
5-6	Adequate local connections
3-4	Limited partnerships
1-2	Poor networking capacity
0	No relevant partnerships

Score: ____/10

5. BUDGET AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS (10 points)

5.1 Budget Quality and Realism (5 points)

Score	Criteria
5	Excellent detailed and realistic budget, very cost-effective
4	Good budget quality
3	Adequate budget
2	Limited budget quality
1	Poor budget
0	Inadequate budget

Score: ____/5

5.2 Co-financing and Sustainability (5 points)

Score	Criteria
5	Excellent co-financing arrangements and sustainability planning
4	Good financial sustainability

- 3 Adequate co-financing
- 2 Limited financial planning
- 1 Poor co-financing
- 0 Inadequate financial arrangements

Score: ____/5

BONUS POINTS (Maximum 15 points)

Bonus Criteria	Points	Awarded
Rural/peripheral area focus	5	
Migrant/ethnic minority focus	5	
Young women leadership (>50%	5	
Innovative/pilot project approach	5	
Previous DEAR/GCE experience	3	

Total Bonus Points: ____/15

SCORING SUMMARY

Criterion	Score	Max Points
Relevance and Project Design ____/25		25
Target Groups and Impact ____/20		20
Methodology and Approach ____/25		25
Implementation Capacity ____/20		20
Budget and Cost-effectiveness ____/10		10
SUBTOTAL ____/100		100
Bonus Points ____/15		15
TOTAL SCORE ____/115		115

Minimum Threshold: 70 points Recommendation: ☐ FUND ☐ RESERVE ☐ REJECT

Evaluator Comments:

Evaluator Name: _____ Date: _____

_ Signature: _____